


# Lone Tree Men's Club 2025 Survey Results

Thank you to the 116 Members that completed  
the survey and provided thoughtful comments

Last year we offered one two-man event where you picked your partner and one where we assigned you a partner. How do you feel about that?


Given this response level we will most like keep offering the two types of partner events for the single day tournaments.



Answered 116 Response Total 116

Answer Choice	Selections	% All Question Responses	% All Survey Responses
a. Do that again	60	51.72%	51.72%
b. Make both events a "pick your partner"	31	26.72%	26.72%
c. Keep both and add one more pick your partner event	15	12.93%	12.93%
d. Keep both and add one more assigned partner event	3	2.59%	2.59%
Other	7	6.03%	6.03%

Last year we offered a 4-man ABCD computer assigned team event. How did you feel about that?



Given the results this event will most likely continue in 2026.

Answered 116 Response Total 116

Answer Choice	Selections	% All Question Responses	% All Survey Responses
a. Do that again	73	62.93%	62.93%
b. Eliminate that event and replace it with an ISP	19	16.38%	16.38%
c. Eliminate that event and replace it with an additional 2-man event	13	11.21%	11.21%
d. Keep it and add another one	4	3.45%	3.45%
Other	7	6.03%	6.03%

Please rank the following choices for the Member-Member format.

The best ball structure is favored by most. 2 Balls counting on certain holes may be considered for lower flights.

Please rank the following choices for the Member-Member format.

Answered 113 Response Total 116 (selections, % among question responses, % among all responses)


	1	2	3	4	5	Mean, Median, Mode
Straight Best Ball (Stroke Play)	51, 45.13%, 43.97%	27, 23.89%, 23.28%	11, 9.73%, 9.48%	9, 7.96%, 7.76%	1, 0.88%, 0.86%	1.81, 1, 1
Straight Best Ball (Stableford)	19, 16.81%, 16.38%	23, 20.35%, 19.83%	29, 25.66%, 25.00%	10, 8.85%, 8.62%	1, 0.88%, 0.86%	2.40, 2, 3
Modified Best Ball (Count two balls on some holes)	23, 20.35%, 19.83%	29, 25.66%, 25.00%	21, 18.58%, 18.10%	12, 10.62%, 10.34%	3, 2.65%, 2.59%	2.35, 2, 2
Chicago Quota (2-man aggregate Stableford vs. handicap based quota)	10, 8.85%, 8.62%	9, 7.96%, 7.76%	15, 13.27%, 12.93%	31, 27.43%, 26.72%	5, 4.42%, 4.31%	3.17, 4, 4
Something else (Provide specifics in the next question)	10, 8.85%, 8.62%	3, 2.65%, 2.59%	1, 0.88%, 0.86%	2, 1.77%, 1.72%	20, 17.70%, 17.24%	3.53, 5, 5

Last year, for the Member-Member,  
we offered a Friday night event.  
How did you feel about that?

---

We will re-evaluate the Friday night event  
and most likely do something different  
around the Member/Member tournament.

Many of the “other” responses were a  
desire for a Calcutta or non-committal  
about what to do.



Answered 115 Response Total 116


Answer Choice	Selections	% All Question Responses	% All Survey Responses
a. Do that again	40	34.78%	34.48%
b. Eliminate that and use the money for something else related to the Member-Member	33	28.70%	28.45%
c. Eliminate that and use the money for something else NOT related to the Member-Member	12	10.43%	10.34%
Other	30	26.09%	25.86%

Last year, we offered trophies to the flight winners in the Member-Member. How did you feel about that?



Further evaluation will take place about prize offerings for the tournament.


“Other” responses mainly requested a Calcutta



Answered 115 Response Total 116

Answer Choice	Selections	% All Question Responses	% All Survey Responses
a. Keep doing that	53	46.09%	45.69%
b. Eliminate that and use the money for something else related to the Member-Member	32	27.83%	27.59%
c. Eliminate that and use the money for something else NOT related to the Member-Member	10	8.70%	8.62%
Other	20	17.39%	17.24%


For the last few years,  
we have offered a fixed  
price team buy in and  
day money for the  
Member-Member. How  
do you feel about that?



The board will evaluate. “Other” did not have any trends in the answers to influence the other answer percentages

For the Gunslinger, would you prefer that costs for food and beverage stay included in the tournament entry fee or would you prefer to pay separately ("a la carte") for the food and beverages you consume?


---



Answered 116 Response Total 116

Answer Choice	Selections	% All Question Responses	% All Survey Responses
a. Yes - keep the food and beverage costs included in the entry fee	30	25.86%	25.86%
b. No - I would prefer to pay separately for the food and beverages based on what me and my partner consume	43	37.07%	37.07%
Other	43	37.07%	37.07%

An evaluation of the food offerings and costs will take place. Most likely reducing the meals served.




Answered 116 Response Total 116

Answer Choice	Selections	% All Question Responses	% All Survey Responses
a. Different buy-in amount	5	4.31%	4.31%
b. Different point structure for the season long events	22	18.97%	18.97%
c. Different payout structure for the LTC finals	4	3.45%	3.45%
Other	85	73.28%	73.28%

Which of the following would do the most to increase your willingness to sign up for the Lone Tree Cup?

Most of the “other” and comments consisted of many responses requesting the format and payout remain as it is.

For the last two years,  
we have played a 2-  
man Shamble instead  
of the 2-man  
Chapman. How do you  
feel about that?




Answered 116 Response Total 116

Answer Choice	Selections	% All Question Responses	% All Survey Responses
a. Keep doing that	57	49.14%	49.14%
b. Go back to the Chapman	22	18.97%	18.97%
c. Go back to the Heaven & Hell	10	8.62%	8.62%
d. Do a different 2-man event	4	3.45%	3.45%
e. Do a 4-man event instead	2	1.72%	1.72%
f. Do an individual event instead	5	4.31%	4.31%
Other	16	13.79%	13.79%

Last year, we started using Golf Genius to monitor pace of play in lieu of the time clock. How do you feel about that?


---

It's rare to get near consensus on much, but it seems we have it here. The timeclock will be gone!



Some members have suggested publishing pace of play data as a way of applying pressure to repeat offenders to improve their pace of play.  
How do you feel about that?

---



The board will review options for 2026 that will get the message out without unduly burdening finalization of tournaments in a timely fashion.


Answered 116 Response Total 116

Answer Choice	Selections	% All Question Responses	% All Survey Responses
a. Sounds like a good idea	68	58.62%	58.62%
b. Does not fit with the culture of our club	29	25.00%	25.00%
Other	19	16.38%	16.38%

Currently, we apply pace of play penalties to the entire foursome (when they are out of compliance) in the current event. How do you feel about that?



The board will continue to assess this challenge. Many of the “other” responses echo the point that calling out individuals is difficult. Repeat offenders have been and will be addressed as problems arise.



Answered 116 Response Total 116

Answer Choice	Selections	% All Question Responses	% All Survey Responses
a. That feels like the right approach. It's up to the players to police their own foursome.	44	37.93%	37.93%
b. That approach is unduly punitive to players in the foursome who were not contributing to the slow play. Figure something else out.	59	50.86%	50.86%
Other	13	11.21%	11.21%

**The 2026 board appreciates everyone's feedback and comments on the survey for 2025. We will do all we can to address the major concerns and provide a great tournament experience in 2026**